Rust安全机制和特权代码安全强化

Demystifying and Enhancing Rust Security: A Privilege-Code Perspective

徐辉 复旦大学 计算机科学技术学院



9/17/2023

Outline

- I. Demystifying the Security Mechanism of Rust
- II. Unsafe Code Characterization and Usage Mitigation
- III. Detecting Bugs Incurred by Privileged Code

I. Demystifying the Security Mechanism of Rust

1) Trends of Software Security via Language Design

- 1) Trends of Software Security via Language Design
- 2) Security Mechanisms of Rust: Philosophy and Implementation
- 3) An Empirical Study of the Rust Ecosystem

Most Dangerous Software Vulnerabilities (by MITRE, 2023)

Rank	ID	Name
1	CWE-787	Out-of-bounds Write
2	CWE-79	Improper Neutralization of Input During Web Page Generation ('Cross-site Scripting')
3	CWE-89	Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')
4	CWE-416	Use After Free
5	CWE-78	Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an OS Command ('OS Command Injection')
6	CWE-20	Improper Input Validation
7	CWE-125	Out-of-bounds Read
8	CWE-22	Improper Limitation of a Pathname to a Restricted Directory ('Path Traversal')
9	CWE-352	Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
10	CWE-434	Unrestricted Upload of File with Dangerous Type
11	CWE-862	Missing Authorization
12	CWE-476	NULL Pointer Dereference
13	CWE-287	Improper Authentication
14	CWE-190	Integer Overflow or Wraparound
15	CWE-502	Deserialization of Untrusted Data
16	CWE-77	Impre Which vulnerabilities can be mitigated through language design?
17	CWE-119	Impre Willer vuller abilities can be fintigated through language design:
18	CWE-798	Use of Hard-coded Credentials
19	CWE-918	Serve Application independent ones, e.g., memory-safety bugs
20	CWE-306	
21	CWE-362	Concurrent Execution using Shared Resource with Improper Synchronization ('Race Condition')
22	CWE-269	Improper Privilege Management
23	CWE-94	Improper Control of Generation of Code ('Code Injection')
24	CWE-863	Incorrect Authorization
25	CWE-276	Incorrect Default Permissions
36	CWE-401	Missing Release of Memory after Effective Lifetime

Source: https://cwe.mitre.org/top25/archive/2023/2023_top25_list.html

Why Memory Bugs are Dangerous? UAF as an Example



Detecting UAF is Hard: via Allocator Design

***** Option 1: prevent writing the dangling pointer p1



Problem: incur overhead during each pointer access

Option 2: prevent adding invalid blocks to the free list



How to design an efficient and robust mechanism?

Detecting UAF is Hard: via Static Analysis

Alias analysis is NP-Hard

Hamiltonian path problems => Flow-insensitive may-alias analysis



Hamiltonian Path Problem

May-Alias Analysis

- More complicated alias analysis problems:
 - Flow-sensitive, path-sensitive, control-sensitive, context-sensitive...
 - Raw pointer, point-to
 - Concurrent code

(Security) Features of System PL: Rust/C++/Go/Zig



This features listed may not be complete

I. Demystifying the Security Mechanism of Rust

2) Security Mechanisms of Rust: Philosophy and Impl.

- 1) Trends of Software Security via Language Design
- 2) Security Mechanisms of Rust: Philosophy and Implementation
- 3) An Empirical Study of the Rust Ecosystem

Idea of Rust for Security



Auto Heap Management: Rust Tackles the Problem via Ownership

Each object is owned by one variable

Ownership can be moved or borrowed

Mode of borrowing: immutable/mutable



Exclusive mutability principle: an object cannot be mutable and shared at one program point. How?

Lifetime Inference with a Constraint-based Method

Infer the minimum lifetime of each reference

> not based on lexical scopes or blocks



Constraint Extraction: Liveness

Forward def-use analysis

(L: {P}) @ P denotes lifetime L is alive at the point P

Traditional liveness analysis: backward data-flow analysis



Constraint Extraction: Subtyping

The lifetime of each reference should not exceed its referent
 (L1: L2) @ P means lifetime L1 outlives lifetime L2 at point P



'pa = {BB1/3, BB2/0, BB3/0}
'a = {BB1/1, BB1/2, BB1/3, BB2/0, BB3/0}
'pb = {BB2/2, BB3/0}
'b = {BB1/2, BB1/3, BB2/0, BB2/1, BB2/2, BB3/0}

Detecting Shared Mutable Aliases



Why the Approach is Efficient?

Avoid hard alias-analysis problems

- No need to track multi-level aliases
- The mutability does not propagate

→ p1 is mutable; immutable borrow; *p1 is read only

q1 is mutable; mutable borrow; **q1 is read only



- O mutable variable
- \bigcirc immutable variable
- → immutable borrow
- mutable borrow

Limitations of Ownership

We may need both shared & mutable, e.g., double-linked list





Option 1: Shared Pointer (with runtime cost)

Option 2: Raw Pointer (unsafe code, bypass borrow check)

Ownership also requires RAII because dropping unit data is bad
 Use unsafe code to create uninitialized object

Interior Unsafe

Encapsulate unsafe code within safe APIs

Prevent developers from directly using unsafe code



Code of Vec from Rust std-lib

Limitation: Security vs Control + Productivity

- Control: need privileged code to implement particular features
 - e.g., low-level code, double-linked list, concurrent code
 - > API soundness with interior unsafe code cannot be verified by compiler
- Productivity: advanced language features
 - Generic, trait: increase the difficult of verification





Generic Parameters with Trait Bound

I. Demystifying the Security Mechanism of Rust

3) An Empirical Study of the Rust Ecosystem

- 1) Trends of Software Security via Language Design
- 2) Security Mechanisms of Rust: Philosophy and Implementation
- 3) An Empirical Study of the Rust Ecosystem

[Xu'21] Hui Xu, et al. "Memory-safety challenge considered solved? An in-depth study with all Rust CVEs", TOSEM, 2021.

Overview

A survey of 185 memory-safety bugs before 2020-12-31



All these bugs need unsafe code, except one compiler bug

Most CVEs are API soundness issues



Some Bugs are Unique for Rust

*35 bugs are automatic memory reclaim issues

*81 bugs are unsoundness issues of APIs

> 29/81 simple issues

> 52/81 related to generics and traits

			Consequence									
	Culprit	Buf. Over-R/W	Use-After-Free	Double Free	Uninit Mem	Other UB	Total					
Auto Memory	Bad Drop at Normal Block	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 9 + 6	0 + 2 + 1	0 + 2 + 0	0 + 1 + 0	22					
Reclaim	Bad Drop at Cleanup Block	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 7 + 0	0 + 5 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	13					
Unsound	Bad Func. Signature	0 + 2 + 0	1 + 5 + 2	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 2 + 4	17					
Function	Unsoundness by FFI	0 + 2 + 0	5 + 1 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 2 + 1	12					
Unsound	Insuff. Bound of Generic	0 + 0 + 1	0 + 33 + 2	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	36					
Generic	Generic Vul. to Spec. Type	3 + 0 + 1	1 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 0 + 1	1 + 2 + 0	10					
or Trait	Unsound Trait	1 + 2 + 1	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 2 + 0	6					
	Arithmetic Overflow	3 + 1 + 0	1 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	5					
Other	Boundary Check	1 + 9 + 0	1 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 0 + 0	12					
Frrors	No Spec. Case Handling	2 + 2 + 1	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	2 + 1 + 1	9					
	Exception Handling Issue	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	1 + 2 + 1	4					
	Wrong API/Args Usage	0 + 3 + 0	1 + 4 + 0	0 + 0 + 0	0 + 1 + 1	0 + 5 + 2	17					
	Other Logical Errors	0 + 4 + 1	2 + 3 + 4	0 + 0 + 1	0 + 1 + 0	1 + 4 + 1	22					
	Total	40	82	12	12	39	185					

Case 1: Auto Memory Reclaim

Drop an object automatically if no variable owns it.



PoC of CVE-2019-16140, CVE-2019-16144

Case 2: Unsound API

A safe API may lead to undefined behaviors



PoC of CVE-2021-45709

Case 3: Vulnerable Generic Parameters

Generic parameters vulnerable to particular types

Existing types

> Newly customized types, *e.g.*, CVE-2020-25796, CVE-2020-35903



Case 4: Vulnerable Generic Parameters: Insufficient Trait Bound



PoC of CVE-2020-35870, CVE-2020-35871, and CVE-2020-35886

Fixed (improved) in latest Rust (after 1.63)

Case 5: Unsound Trait

Reimplement a safe function may lead to undefined behaviors.

```
trait MyTrait {
    fn type id(&self) -> TypeId where Self: 'static { <-- return the type of the trait object
        TypeId::of::<Self>()
impl dyn MyTrait {
    pub fn is<T: MyTrait + 'static>(&self) -> bool {/*...*/}
    pub fn downcast<T: MyTrait + 'static>(self: Box<Self>)
        -> Result<Box<T>, Box<dyn MyTrait>> {/*...*/}
impl MyTrait for u128{}
impl MyTrait for u8{
    fn type_id(&self) -> TypeId where Self: 'static { --- reimpl type_id() for u8 with errors
        TypeId::of::<u128>()
fn main(){
    let s = Box::new(10u8);
    let r = MyTrait::downcast::<u128>(s);
                                                           bug: out-of-bound access!!!
```

Our Interest: Towards More Secure Rust Crates

- 1) How to mitigate the usage of unsafe code?
- 2) How to verify the security of unsafe code?
 - Detect bugs caused by unsafe code
 - Soundness verification of interior unsafe API



II. Unsafe Code Characterization and Usage Mitigation

4) Characteristics of Unsafe Code

- 4) Characteristics of Unsafe Code
- 5) Contracts of Using Unsafe Code
- 6) Replacement of Unsafe Code

Privileged Unsafe Code

Application Sconarios		Five Types of l	Jnsafe Code in	Rustdoc	
Application Scenarios	Raw Ptr	Unsafe Fn	Unsafe Trait	Static Mut	Union
Low-level control	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Interoperability		\checkmark			\checkmark
Non-exclusive Mutability	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Delayed Initialization	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Transmute		\checkmark			
Unchecked Operations	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Tailored Allocator			\checkmark		
Concurrent Objects			\checkmark		
Global Objects				\checkmark	

Low-level Control: OS Dev as An Example



https://os.phil-opp.com/minimal-rust-kernel/

Delayed Initialization: Uninitialized Memory

Create an uninitialized object is unsafe

The method mem::uninitialized() is deprecated

Use MaybeUninit: create an object of MaybeUninit is safe

Assume initialization done is unsafe



https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/mem/union.MaybeUninit.html

Unchecked Operations

Skip the validity (e.g., boundary) check
More efficient but insecure



Concurrency Safety

Whether allow an object to be used in multiple threads





Send: object can be passed among threads

Sync: object ref can be passed among threads

II. Unsafe Code Characterization and Usage Mitigation

5) Contracts of Using Unsafe Code

- 4) Characteristics of Unsafe Code
- 5) Contracts of Using Unsafe Code
- 6) Replacement of Unsafe Code

[Cui'23a] Mohan Cui, et al. "Is unsafe an Achilles' Heel? A Comprehensive Study of Safety Requirements in Unsafe Rust Programming." arXiv 2023.

Contract: Some Unsafe APIs Have Preconditions

Recall the bug of unsound APIs

```
fn foo<T>(a: &mut [T], l: usize){
   // require 4-byte alignment
    let p = a.as_mut_ptr() as *mut u32;
    unsafe {
        let s = slice::from_raw_parts_mut(p, 1);
    let _x = p[0];
fn main(){
    let mut x = [0u8;10];
    foo(&mut x[1..9], 1);
```

Rustdoc Provides Safety Requirements (Verbose)

Function std::slice::from_raw_parts_mut

1.0.0 (const: unstable) · source · [-]

pub unsafe fn from_raw_parts_mut<'a, T>(data: *mut T, len: usize) -> &'a mut [T]

[-] Performs the same functionality as from_raw_parts, except that a mutable slice is returned.

Safety

Behavior is undefined if any of the following conditions are violated:

- data must be valid for both reads and writes for len * mem::size_of::<T>() many bytes, and it must be properly aligned. This means in particular:
 - The entire memory range of this slice must be contained within a single allocated object! Slices can never span across multiple allocated objects.
 - data must be non-null and aligned even for zero-length slices. One reason for this is that enum layout optimizations may rely on references (including slices of any length) being aligned and non-null to distinguish them from other data. You can obtain a pointer that is usable as data for zero-length slices using NonNull::dangling().
- data must point to len consecutive properly initialized values of type T.
- The memory referenced by the returned slice must not be accessed through any other pointer (not derived from the return value) for the duration of lifetime 'a. Both read and write accesses are forbidden.
- The total size len * mem::size_of::<T>() of the slice must be no larger than isize::MAX. See the safety documentation of pointer::offset.

https://doc.rust-lang.org/beta/core/slice/fn.from_raw_parts_mut.html

Summary of Preconditions

Safety Property (SP)	SUM	Definition and the safety requirement of each Safety Property.	Unsafe API Example
		Precondition Safety Property	
Const-Numeric Bound	72	Relational operations allow for compile-time determination of the constant numerical boundaries on one side of an expression, including overflow check, index check, etc.	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> *mut T::offset_from</t:></pre>
Relative-Numeric Bound	114	Relational operations involve expressions where neither side is a constant numeric, in- cluding address boundary check, overlap check, size check, variable comparison, etc.	trait Allocator::grow
Encoding	16	Encoding format of the string, includes valid UTF-8 string, valid ASCII string (in bytes), and valid C-compatible string (nul-terminated trailing with no nul bytes in the middle).	<pre>impl String::from_utf8_unchecked</pre>
Allocated	134	Value stored in the allocated memory , including data in the valid stack frame and allocated heap chunk, which cannot be NULL or dangling.	<pre>impl<t: sized=""> NonNull<t>::new_unchecked</t></t:></pre>
Initialized	59	Value that has been initialized can be divided into two scenarios: fully initialized and partially initialized . The initialized value must be valid at the given type (a.k.a. typed).	<pre>impl<t> MaybeUninit<t>:::assume_init</t></t></pre>
Dereferencable	96	The memory range of the given size starting at the pointer must all be within the bounds of a single allocated object .	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> *const T::as_ref</t:></pre>
Aligned	67	Value is properly aligned via a specific allocator or the attribute #[repr], including the alignment and the padding of one Rust type.	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> *mut T::swap</t:></pre>
Consistent Layout	110	Restriction on Type Layout, including 1) The pointer's type must be compatible with the pointee's type; 2) The contained value must be compatible with the generic parameter for the smart pointer; and 3) Two types are safely transmutable : The bits of one type can be reinterpreted as another type (bitwise move safely of one type into another).	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> *mut T::read</t:></pre>
Unreachable	9	Specific value will trigger unreachable data flow , such as enumeration index (variance), boolean value, closure and etc.	<pre>impl<t> Option<t>::unwrap_unchecked</t></t></pre>
Exotically Sized Type	24	Restrictions on Exotically Sized Types (EST), including Dynamically Sized Types (DST) that lack a statically known size, such as trait objects and slices; Zero Sized Types (ZST) that occupy no space.	trait GlobalAlloc::alloc
System IO	25	Variables related to the system IO depends on the target platform , including TCP sockets, handles, and file descriptors.	trait FromRawFd::from_raw_fd
Thread	3	std::marker::Sync	

Contract: Some Unsafe APIs have Postconditions



Summary of Postconditions

	Postcondition Safety Property										
Dual Owner	31	Multiple owners (overlapped objects) that share the same memory in the ownership system by retaking the owner or creating a bitwise copy .	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> Box<t>:::from_raw</t></t:></pre>								
Aliasing & Mutating	30	Aliasing and mutating rules may be violated, including 1) The presence of multiple mutable references; 2) The simultaneous presence of mutable and shared references, and the memory the pointer points to cannot get mutated (frozen); 3) Mutating immutable data owned by an immutable binding.	impl CStr::from_ptr								
Outliving	28	Arbitrary lifetime (unbounded) that becomes as big as context demands or spawned thread , may outlive the pointed memory.	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> *const T::as_uninit_ref</t:></pre>								
Untyped	20	Value may not be in the initialized state , or the byte pattern represents an invalid value of its type.	core::mem::zeroed								
Freed	17	Value may be manually freed or released by automated drop() instruction.	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> ManuallyDrop<t>:::drop</t></t:></pre>								
Leaked	13	Value may be leaked or escaped from the ownership system.	<pre>impl<t: ?sized=""> *mut T::write</t:></pre>								
Pinned	5	Value may be moved , although it ought to be pinned.	<pre>impl<p: deref=""> Pin<p>::new_unchecked</p></p:></pre>								

Verify Whether The Contracts Can Be Met?

Miri can detect undefined behaviors of by executing IR.

Limitation: based on dynamic analysis, need concrete test cases.

RUN	▶ ··· DEBUG ∨ STABLE ∨ ···	SHARE TOOLS V CONFIG V	?
1 • 2	<pre>fn foo<t>(a: &mut [T], l: usize){ let p = core::slice::from_raw_</t></pre>	TOOLS);
3 4 5	<pre>let _x = p[0]; }}</pre>	Rustfmt Format this code with Rustfmt. 1.6.0-nightly (2023-09-14 ca2b74f)	
6 ▼ 7 8	<pre>fn main(){ let mut x = [0u8;10]; foo(&mut x[19], 100);</pre>	Clippy Catch common mistakes and improve the code	
9 10	}	0.1.74 (2023-09-14 ca2b74f)	
		Execute this program in the Miri interpreter to detect certain cases of undefined behavior (like out- of-bounds memory access). 0.1.0 (2023-09-14 ca2b74f)	

Compiling playground v0.0.1 (/playground)

Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.28s

Running `/playground/.rustup/toolchains/nightly-x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu/bin/cargo-miri runner target/miri/x86_64-unknownerror: Undefined Behavior: accessing memory with alignment 2, but alignment 4 is required

--> /playground/.rustup/toolchains/nightly-x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu/lib/rustlib/src/rust/library/core/src/slice/raw.rs:14

&mut *ptr::slice_from_raw_parts_mut(data, len)

^^^^^^^ accessing memory with alignment 2, but alignment 4 is required

https://play.rust-lang.org

147

II. Unsafe Code Characterization and Usage Mitigation

6) Replacement of Unsafe Code

- 4) Characteristics of Unsafe Code
- 5) Contracts of Using Unsafe Code
- 6) Replacement of Unsafe Code

Motivating Example: Misused Unsafe Code

```
Code () Issues 1 1 Pull requests 2 () Actions The Projects () Security // Insights
                                                            ሦ Fork 11
     bottom-rs (Public)
                                                                              ☆ Star 371
                                            ⊙ Watch 7 👻
     static ref BYTE_TO_EMOJI: [String; 256] = {
         // SAFETY: safe
         let mut m: [MaybeUninit<String>; 256] = unsafe { MaybeUninit::uninit().assume_init() };
         const EMPTY_STRING: String = String::new();
+
+
         let mut m = [EMPTY_STRING; 256];
+
         for i in 0..=255u8 {
             m[i as usize] = MaybeUninit::new(byte_to_emoji(i));
             m[i as usize] = byte_to_emoji(i);
+
         }
         unsafe { mem::transmute::<_, [String; 256]>(m) }
         m
+
     };
```

https://github.com/bottom-software-foundation/bottom-rs/pull/6/files

Detailed Issues

- 1) Substitutability: is it possible to replace the unsafe code?
- 2) How to replace: what is the corresponding safe version?
- 3) Cost of replacement: whether the replacement is worthy?



our current focus

Case 2: crate level (code refactoring)



Substitutability of Unsafe Code

Application	Fiv	e Types of	Unsafe Co	ode in Rus	stdoc	Substitutability		
Scenarios	Raw Ptr	Unsafe Fn	Unsafe Trait	Static Mut	Union	Replaceable?	How?	
Low-level control	\checkmark	\checkmark				no		
Interoperability		\checkmark			\checkmark	no		
Non-exclusive Mutability	\checkmark	\checkmark				may	intelli ptr	
Delayed Initialization	\checkmark	\checkmark				may	init twice	
Transmute		\checkmark				may	safe cast	
Unchecked Operations	\checkmark	\checkmark				yes	checked	
Tailored Allocator			\checkmark			no		
Concurrent Objects			\checkmark			no		
Global Objects				\checkmark		yes	lock	

Example: Replacement of Unchecked



```
let mut x = vec![1, 2, 3];
unsafe {
    let elem = x.get_mut(100);
    *elem = 10;
}
unsafe {
    let (left, right) = v.split_at(50);
}
```

Example: Replacement of Uninitialized Memory



└└ Not always possible, with runtime cost

```
//Step1: Create an initliazed object
let mut v:Vec<i32> = vec![0;3]; //not always possible
//Step2: Reinitialize the object
let mut i:i32 = 0;
for it in v.iter_mut() {
    *it = {i+=1;i};
}
```

Example: Replacement of Transmute



Case of misuse: replacement has no cost



Replacement has cost

Let developers decide whether to replace

III. Detecting Bugs Incurred by Privileged Code

7) Detecting Dangling Pointer Bugs

- 7) Detecting Dangling Pointer Bugs
- 8) Detecting Memory Leakage Bugs

[Cui&Chen'22] Mohan Cui, Chengchun Chen, *et al*. "SafeDrop: Detecting memory deallocation bugs of Rust programs via static data-flow analysis", *TOSEM*, 2022.

Recall the Auto Memory Reclaim Bug



Bug Analysis with Rust MIR



Abstraction of Bug Patterns





- No aliases should be used or dropped
- Otherwise, positive (bug detected)



- No aliases should be dropped
- Otherwise, positive



Approach for Detecting Dangling Pointer Bugs

Requirements:

- > Effective: use-after-free, double free, drop unint memory
- Precise: should not incur much false positives
- Efficient: fast

Approach: path-sensitive analysis



Approach: 1. Path Extraction \implies 2. Alias Analysis

3. Pattern Detection

Extract the spanning tree of each function:

Compute strongly-connected components with Tarjan Algorithm

Compute the may alias sets of each SCC

Refine the tree based on rules to handle conner cases



Approach:

Classic accurate rules for point-to analysis are inefficient

>Anderson-style

Pattern	MIR	Abstraction	
Move	a = move b	$S_a = S_a - a,$ $S_b = S_b \cup a$	a
Сору	a = b	$S_a = S_a - a,$ $S_b = S_b \cup a$	a=&c c b c=&d
Ref	a = &b	$S_a = S_a - a,$ $S_{loc(b)} = S_{loc(b)} \cup a$	$\begin{array}{c} c = dd \\ \hline d \\ \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \\ \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \\ \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \\ \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \hline \hline e \\ \hline \hline \hline e \hline \hline e \\ \hline \hline e \hline \hline e \hline \hline \hline e \hline \hline \hline e \hline \hline \hline e \hline $
Deref	a = *b	$S_a = S_a - a, \forall v \in pts(b), S_v = S_v \cup a$	point-to
Fn(Mov)	a = func(mov b)	$Update(S_a, S_b)$	alias set: {b, c}
Fn(Copy)	a = func(b)	$Update(S_a, S_b)$	

Approach:

2. Alias Analysis

- Our approximation rules:
 - Similar to Steensgaard, but ignore multi-level pointers

LValue	:=	Use::Move(RValue)	:	e.g.,	а	= move b	=>	$S_a = S_a - a, \ S_b = S_b \cup a$
	:=	Use::Copy(RValue)	:	e.g.,	а	= b	=>	$S_a = S_a - a, \ S_b = S_b \cup a$
	:=	<pre>Ref/AddressOf(RValue)</pre>	:	e.g.,	а	= &b	=>	$S_a = S_a - a, \ S_b = S_b \cup a$
	:=	Deref(RValue)	:	e.g.,	а	= *(b)	=>	$S_a = S_a - a, \ S_b = S_b \cup a$
1	:=	<pre>Fn(Move(RValue))</pre>	:	e.g.,	а	= Fn(move b)	=>	$Update(S_a, S_b)$
I.	:=	<pre>Fn(Copy(RValue))</pre>	:	e.g.,	а	= Fn(b)	=>	$Update(S_a, S_b)$

Example:

- Statement 1: $_2 = \&_1;$ Statement 2: _1 = move _4; // alias sets:{_1, _4}, {_2} Statement 3: $_3 = \&_1;$
- // alias set:{_1, _2} // alias sets:{_1, _3, _4}, {_2}

1. Path Extraction

Approach:

2. Alias Analysis

Field-sensitive and inter-procedural analysis





• Otherwise, positive

Experimental Results

Can detect all related CVEs with a low false positive rate

False positive: compiler intrinsic trait implementations are unavailable
 Assume aliases among the arguments and return value

	Crate		CVE	SafeDrop Report (TP/FP)						Rudra	MirChecker	Mi	iri	
Name	# Methods	LoC	CVE-ID	Туре	UAF	DF	DP	IMA	Total	Recall	TP/FP	TP/FP	# Tests	TP/FP
isahc	89	1304	2019-16140	UAF	-	0/1	1/0	-	1/1	100%	0/0	0/0	6	0/0
open-ssl	1188	20764	2018-20997	UAF	1/2	-	0/1	-	1/3	100%	0/0	0/0	0	N.A.
linea	1810	24317	2019-16880	DF	-	1/0	-	10/0	11/0	100%	1/2	0/5	2	0/0
ordnung	145	2546	2020-35891	DF	0/1	-	3/0	-	3/1	100%	1/3	0/2	31	0/0
crossbeam	221	4184	2018-20996	IMA	-	0/1	-	2/0	2/1	100%	0/0	0/0	21	0/1
generator*	158	2608	2019-16144	IMA	-	-	-	1/0	1/0	100%	0/0	0/0	0	N.A.
linkedhashmap	137	1974	2020-25573	IMA	-	-	-	1/0	1/0	100%	0/0	0/0	39	0/1
smallvec*	187	2297	2018-20991 2019-15551	DF DF	-	-	1/2	1/0	2/2	100%	2/1	0/2	48	1/1

Experimental Results: Efficiency

Very small overhead compared to the original compilation



III. Detecting Bugs Incurred by Privileged Code

8) Detecting Memory Leakage Bugs

- 7) Detecting Dangling Pointer Bugs
- 8) Detecting Memory Leakage Bugs

[Cui'23b] Mohan Cui, et al. "rCanary: Detecting memory leaks across semiautomated memory management boundary in Rust", arXiv, 2023.

Memory Leakage Problem

Consuming an ownership (leak) is safe in Rust

Taking an ownership or manual deallocation is unsafe

```
let mut buf = Box::new("buffer");
let ptr = Box::into_raw(buf); //consume the ownership
//fix 1: unsafe { let _ = *ptr; } buf is not owned by anyone
//fix 2: unsafe { drop_in_place(ptr); }
```

+

Abstraction of Bug Patterns



At least one variable should be properly dropped Otherwise, positive (leakage detected)



Practical Cases are More Complicated

Field-sensitivity issues

Correctness of Drop trait implementation



Overall Idea: Model Checking

Type encoding: abstract data types wrt heap resource holding

Constraint extraction: path-insensitive data-flow analysis

➢ fast, less false positives

Constraint solving: based on Z3



1. Type Encoding



Encode each type as a one-level bit vector (field-sensitive)

Also encode the corresponding drop function as a one-level bit vector





$$\frac{M \vdash x: \overrightarrow{\sigma_x}, y. f: \sigma_f \quad \overrightarrow{\sigma_x}', \sigma_f' \text{ new } C' = C \land \{\sigma_f = 0\} \land \{\overrightarrow{\sigma_x}' = \overrightarrow{0}\} \land \{\sigma_f = Srk(\overrightarrow{\sigma_x})\}}{0; M; C \vdash y. f = \text{move } x \quad \Rightarrow \quad M[y'. f \mapsto \sigma_f' x \mapsto \overrightarrow{\sigma_x}']; C'}$$



Example

```
struct Proxy<T> { ptr: *mut T }
impl<T> Drop for Proxy<T> {
                               Encoded the destructor as [1] since it does not drop ptr
   fn drop(&mut self) {
       // user should manually deallocate the buffer in drop
       unsafe { Box::from_raw(self.ptr); }
    +
fn main() {
                                     ASSERT
                                                buf = [1,0]
   let mut buf = Box::new("buffer");
   // transform the heap item 'buf' into the orphan object
   let ptr = Box::into raw(buf);
                                     ASSERT
                                                ptr = buf, buf' = [0,0]
   let proxy = Proxy { ptr }; []
   // leak due to lacking releasing 'proxy.ptr' in drop
}
                                                proxy = SRK(ptr), ptr' = [0,0]
                                     ASSERT
                                     ASSERT
                                                drop(proxy): proxy' = proxy ∩ [1]
                                                return: proxy', ptr', buf' = 0
                                     ASSERT
```

Experimental Results

Can detect all issues of a dataset collected from GitHub A small number of false positives

	I	Package				Issue		RCANARY		FFIChecker	SABER	ASAN	Miri	libFuzzer
Name	Functions	LoC	Tests	AdtDef	Ty	PR	Pattern	TP	FP	TP/FP	TP/FP	TP/FP	TP/FP	TP/FP
napi-rs	1428	20.9k	14	724	2408	#1230	PT	1+18	4	0/0	0/5	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL
rust-rocksdb	3295	30.2k	43	503	1593	#658	00	1+16	0	1/0	0/8	0/13	FAIL	1/0
arrow-rs	6164	149.6k	1202	1293	7452	#1878	00	1+0	0	FAIL	FAIL	0/1	2/8	FAIL
arma-rs	179	2.2k	66	243	858	#22	00	1+0	0	0/0	0/6	0/1	0/0	0/0
ruffle	6474	135.8k	915	11301	67092	#6528	PT	1+0	0	0/0	0/12	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL
flaco	22	0.4k	0	258	729	#12	PT	2+0	0	FAIL	0/3	0/0	0/0	FAIL
pprof-rs	110	2.3k	9	162	508	#84	\mathbf{PT}	1+0	0	1/0	0/2	FAIL	0/0	1/0
rowan	406	4.4k	5	118	442	#112	PT	1+0	3	1/0	0/7	0/0	0/0	0/0
Fornjot	688	11.8k	40	304	13141	#646	PT	1+0	0	0/0	FAIL	0/0	0/0	1/0

Failed: FFICHECKER: internal errors (self abort due to panic); SABER: the minimum Rust version supported (LLVM14) conflicts with the maximum SVF support (LLVM13); ASAN: cannot integrate LEAKSANITIZER into cargo tests; MIRI: does not support to test extern FFI calls; LIBFUZZER: cannot generate fuzz targets through cargo-fuzz.

Large-Scale Experiments

Scan 1.2k real-world Rust crates in 96 minutes (8.4s per crate) Find 19 crates with potential leak issues

Crate	Functions	LoC	Location	Pattern	Summary	Description
basic_dsp <u>#52</u>	1998	30.3k	mod.rs	PT	LeakedDropImpl	Struct VectorBox <b,t> leaks the proxy field <i>argument</i> in its Drop impl.</b,t>
symsynd <u>#15</u>	35	0.5k	cabi.rs	PT	LeakedDropImpl	Field message stored a boxed slice in struct CError should be freed in Drop impl.
rustfx <u>#3</u>	263	4.8k	core.rs*	PT	LeakedDropImpl	Proxy field <i>host</i> in struct OfxHost and <i>String</i> in enum PropertyValue.
signal-hook <u>#150</u>	234	5.4k	raw.rs	00	Overwriting	Calling init() multiple times will leak AtomicPtr in <i>slot</i> and trigger panicking.
rust-vst2 <u>#42</u>	207	4.1k	host.rs	00	Overwriting	Global LOAD_POINTER in call_main() will leak host for multiple assignments.
synthir <u>#1</u>	489	7.9k	emulator.rs	00	Overwriting	MASKS_ALL and MASKS_BIT may leak the pointed Vec <biguint>.</biguint>
relibc (redox-os) <u>#180</u>	1709	32.0k	mod.rs*	00	Overwriting	Calling init() will overwrite PTHREAD_SELF; packet_data_ptr may be leaked.
tinyprof <u>#1</u>	26	0.5k	profiler.rs	00	Overwriting	Assign by dereferencing to PROFILER_STATE_SENDER will leak the front chunck.
teaclave-sgx <u>#441</u>	7558	147.4k	func.rs*	00	Overwriting	session_ptr and p_ret_ql_config may be leaked if overwrites to it.
tor_patches <u>#1</u>	288	4.1k	tor_log.rs	00	Overwriting	Rewriting to LAST_LOGGED_FUNCTION and LAST_LOGGED_MESSAGE will leak box.
log <u>#314</u>	438	5.0k	lib.rs	00	Finalization	set_boxed_logger leaks a boxed Log to LOGGER along with potential spin loop.
tracing <u>#1517</u>	3375	61.0k	dispatch.rs	00	Finalization	Intentional leak towards a global GLOBAL_DISPATCH, the relevant issue in #1517.
rust-appveyor <u>#1</u>	43753	733.4k	thread_local.rs	00	Finalization	KEYS and LOCALS need finalization function to clean up.
ServoWsgi	11606	236.2k	opts.rs	00	Lazy	DEFAULT_OPTIONS needs finalization to clean up, especially for multi threads exit.
rux	585	9.9k	lazy.rs	00	Lazy	The current lazy static uses the 'static that cannot be freed in multi threads.
next_space_coop	20477	317.1k	lazy.rs	00	Lazy	The current lazy static uses the 'static that cannot be freed in multi threads.
rio <u>#52</u>	95	3.0k	lazy.rs	00	PanicPath	If the assertion goes into unwinding, the <i>value</i> will be leaked inside a panic.
sled <u>#1458</u>	1350	32.8k	lazy.rs	00	PanicPath	If the assertion goes into unwinding, the <i>value</i> will be leaked inside a panic.

The item having * indicates vulnerabilities located in multiple files. Additionally, we did not open an issue specifically for scenario Lazy, due to it being a common design flaw in lazy_static implementations.

Thanks

Q & A